

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,807	01/21/2004	Amitay Levi	2102397-992432	5411
75	90 05/17/2005		EXAMINER	
Ronald L. Yin			LEE, CA	ALVIN
Gary Cary War			ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
2000 University	Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
East Palo Alto,	CA 94303-2248	2818		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· 7	4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/762,807	LEVI et al.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lee, Calvin	2818	_	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	ss	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	—· s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the me	rits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William College and College an			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· ·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Papers		· · · ·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are.		to by the Evaminer		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* *	121/4\	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= ' '		•	
			-	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document. 2. Certified copies of the priority document. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	ge	
Attachment(s)	_			
)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/07/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)	
Detent and Trademark Office				

Page 2 LEVI et al.

Application No: 10/762,807

Docket: 2102397-992432

OFFICE ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-15 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,703,318. This is a double patenting rejection.

The examiner notes that the pending claims and the conflicted claims are identical.

Contact Information

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Lee at (571) 272-1896 on Mondays thru Thursdays 6:30-4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2818's Supervisory Patent Examiner David Nelms can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization (where this application is assigned to) is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system at http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197.

CL

Dated: May 1, 2005

David Nelms

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800